UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/809,457	03/26/2004	Johann Arnold	2454.1096	7652
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 08/03/200 SEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700		PATEL, CHANDRAHAS B		
WASHINGTO	RK AVENUE, N.W. N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2416	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/809,457	ARNOLD ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Chandrahas Patel	2416		

	Chandrahas Patel	2416				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Action no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slate forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original controls.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b  (a) They raise new issues that would require further con  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	sideration and/or search (see NOTw); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); ducing or simplifying th				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-24.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
<ul> <li>11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See continuation sheet.</li> <li>12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)</li> </ul>						
13. Other:	1 10/36/00) Fapel No(5)					
/Ricky Ngo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416	/Chandrahas Patel/ Examiner, Art Unit 2416					

Continuation of 11. NOTE: Applicant argues that Nakano does not teach the transmission sequence is composed of one or more partial sequences, the composition of which depends on the cycle number determined for the particular transmission cycle. However, examiner disagrees. Nakano teaches for each particular transmission cycle Iso packets are transmitted before the Async packets. Therefore, in each of the cyle the composition of packets within a particular transmission cycle will be different where the composition will be different for each cycle number and the mixture of Iso and Async packets depend on the particular cyle number depending on how many Iso packets are there in that particular cycle number. Applicant argues that Nakano does not teach the cycle number determines which of the partial sequences are transmitted in the particular transmission cycle. However, examiner disagrees. The composition of each cycle will be different depending on number of Iso packets to be transmitted. Therefore, for each cycle the partial sequence will be different. Each particular cycle number will have different number of Iso packets therefore the cycle number will determine which of the partial sequence will be transmitted for the particular cycle number. Applicant argues that Nakano does not teach defining a duration of each of the plurality of transmission cycles. However, examiner disagrees. Fig. 4 teaches defining a duration of each of the transmission cycle.